

To: Waldon, MARGARET[Waldon.Margaret@epa.gov];
jennifer.f.williams@uscg.mil[jennifer.f.williams@uscg.mil];
pdhodgins@paalp.com[pdhodgins@paalp.com]; Crossland,
Mark@Wildlife[Mark.Crossland@wildlife.ca.gov]; Rockabrand, Ryan[rrockabrand@sbcoem.org]
From: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife
Sent: Mon 7/20/2015 10:43:55 PM
Subject: Before and after Section Five for social media
removed.txt
section five before and after.tif

Received a section 5 before after comparison.

In terms of social media, we have not published as many before an afters as the website.

This photo combo is good size for social media post; would need a bit of work to be large enough for website but can be done.

First question is to Mark: would there be any problem with the first photo in terms of evidence issues? (Was not taken by warden or investigator to my knowledge)

Second, would UC approve the before/after photo and this text:

Cleanup crews took extra care with the cliff area near Refugio to remove oil from the May 19 pipeline leak. Oiled vegetation was also removed. When cleanup finishes, restoration will begin including the re-vegetation and restoration of habitat.

Alexia Retallack

Office Spill Prevention and Response


Dept. Fish and Wildlife

Alexia.retallack@wildlife.ca.gov

(916) 322-1683 (o)

(916) 952-3317 (c)

Every Californian should conserve water. Find out how at:



SaveOurWater.com · Drought.CA.gov